

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office-Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,341	. 04/29/2004	Do-Kyoung Kwon	MTKP0168USA	3340
NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION P.O. BOX 506			EXAMINER.	
			VO, TUNG T	
MERRIFIELD, VA 22116			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2621	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/31/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

winstonhsu.uspto@gmail.com Patent.admin.uspto.Rcv@naipo.com mis.ap.uspto@naipo.com.tw

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/709,341	KWON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tung Vo	2621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 D	ecember 2007.	,				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 17-21</u> is/are rejected.	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 17-21</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-16</u> is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) <u>3-16</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/13/2007.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application .				

10/709,341 Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Joch et al. (US 7,227,901) in view of Frishman et al. (US 2003/0044080).

Re claim 1. Joch teaches a method for reducing a blocking artifact in a video stream (44 of fig. 4; figs. 5-7), the method comprising:

calculating an activity value representing local activity around a block boundary (47 of fig. 3a, fig. 3b) between a plurality of adjacent blocks in the video stream (112, 114, and 115 of fig. 5; col. 3, lines 5-8; 48-52);

determining a region mode to be one of active region (p0...p3; q0...q3 are activities pixels blocks of fig. 3a, wherein determination of the activity on the line (boundary line) is above or below the activity threshold; fig. 3b), smooth region (smooth areas, col. 14, lines 38-47), or dormant region (120 and 122 of fig. 5, inter and intra regions) for the block boundary according to the activity value; and

filtering a plurality of pixels around the block boundary according to the region mode (122, 128, 130, 132, and 134 of fig. 5) and the quantization parameters (QPs) of the adjacent blocks (col. 15, lines 15-20), wherein the filtered pixels are further refined according to the

10/709,341 Art Unit: 2621

quantization parameters (QPs) of the adjacent blocks (col. 16, lines 65-col. 17, line 11), or wherein symmetric filters (q0 and p0 of fig. 3, values p0 and q0 are symmetric to the boundary, this would suggest the filters will perform symmetrically; col. 17, lines 23-29) or asymmetric filters (128, 130, 132, and 134 of fig. 5) are used to filter the pixels according to the quantization parameters (QPs) of the adjacent blocks.

The symmetric and asymmetric filters are used in the de-blocking processes that are well known in the art as taught by Frishman ([0015]-[0016]).

Therefore, taking the teachings of Joch and Frishman together as a whole, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the symmetric and asymmetric filters of Frishman into the de-blocking process of Joch to provide a post-processing operation in order to improve and enhance picture quality, or used as an in-loop operation in order to enhance image quality and improve the process of estimating motion within the compression loop.

Re claim 18, Joch further discloses determining a filtering range according to block coding types (inter or intra coding types, col. 11, lines 1-11) of the adjacent blocks in the video stream; wherein the filtering range specifies a number of pixels to filter around the block boundary (col. 11, lines 8-11, wherein inter coded (not intra coded) block size ranging from 16x16 pixels to 4x4 pixels, so the intra-coded block size would obviously 4x4 pixels).

Re claim 19, Joch further teaches wherein according to the block coding types (inter coded block size ranging 16x16 pixels to 4x4 pixels; intra code block size 4x4 pixels to zero; col. 11, lines 8-11) of the adjacent blocks in the video stream, determining the filtering range to be up to eight pixels around the block boundary (25 and 47 of fig. 3a).

10/709,341

Art Unit: 2621

Re claim 20, Joch further teaches wherein determining a filtering range according to the block coding types of the adjacent blocks in the video stream (col. 11, lines 8-11) further comprises:

if at least one of the adjacent blocks is an intra-coded block (Each inter-coded macroblock 24 can be partitioned in a number of different ways, using blocks of seven different sizes, with luminance block sizes ranging from 16.times.16 pixels to 4.times.4 pixels, col. 11, lines 8-11, this would obviously suggest the intra coded, where block size would be 4x4 pixels), determining the filtering range to be up to four pixels around the block boundary; and if none of the adjacent blocks are intra-coded blocks (intra coded blocks, col. 11, lines 8-11), determining the filtering range to be up to eight pixels around the block boundary (16x16 pixels to 4x4 pixels, col. 11, lines 8-11).

Re claim 21, Joch further teaches wherein the video stream is an MPEG video stream (25 of fig. 29).

3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Joch et al. (US 7,227,901) in view of Frishman et al. (US 2003/0044080) as applied to claim 1, and further in view of Ameres et al. (US 7,027,654).

Re claim 2, Joch teaches the content activity measure is derived from the absolute value of the separation between sample values of p0, p1, q0, q1 on either side of the boundary 47 (col. 13, lines 23-28), and Frishman discloses an absolute difference is then calculated between each two neighbors (9 pairs)[0005].

10/709,341 Art Unit: 2621

It is noted that the combination of Joch and Frishman does not particularly teach wherein calculating the activity value comprises summing absolute differences between pixels V around the block boundary as follows:

$$\mathbf{ACTIVEY} = \sum_{i=1}^{6} |\nu_i - v_{i+1}| \div \sum_{i=1}^{10} |\nu_i - \nu_{i+1}|$$

However, Ameres teaches calculating the activity value (col. 5, lines 1-10) comprises summing absolute differences between pixels V around the block boundary using the formulas (col. 5, lines 1-10) follows:

$$Side ISAD = \sum_{i=1}^{4} abs(x_i - x_{i-1})$$

$$Side2SAD = \sum_{i+5}^{9} abs(x_i - x_{i-1})$$

Taking the teachings of Joch, Frishman, and Ameres as a whole, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teachings of Ameres into the combination of Joch and Frishman in order to reduce the decoder complexity on vector processing machines that are capable of doing the same operation to multiple values stored sequentially in a machine's registers by lowering the complexity of the 2 dimensional transform and decoding time.

10/709,341 Art Unit: 2621

4. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Joch et al. (US 7,227,901) in view of Frishman et al. (US 2003/0044080) as applied to claim 1, and further in view of Hsu et al. (US 2005/0013497).

Re claim 17, Joch further teaches if the video stream comprises video frame, performing an interpolation operation to estimate pixel values in frames before filtering the pixels around the block boundary (col. 11, lines 27-29). Joch and Frishman do not teach if the video stream comprises interlaced video, performing an interpolation operation to estimate pixel values in an interlaced field before filtering the pixels around the block boundary as claimed.

Hsu teaches a video decoder decodes a motion vector for a current interlaced macroblock (e.g., a frame or field macroblock) and obtains a prediction macroblock for the current macroblock using the decoded motion vector [0043], this would obviously suggest if the video stream comprises interlaced video, performing an interpolation operation to estimate pixel values in an interlaced field.

Therefore, taking the teachings of Joch, Frishman, and Hsu as a whole, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Hsu into the combination of Joch and Frishman in order to provide rounding leads to lower implementation costs by favoring less complicated positions for interpolation (e.g., integer and half-integer locations).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 12/10/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

10/709,341 Art Unit: 2621

The applicant argued that Joch does not disclose "determining a region mode to be one of active region, smooth region, or dormant region" in the remarks.

The examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant. It is submitted that Joch teaches determining a region mode to be one of active region (fig. 3b, activity on the line (boundary line) is above or below the activity threshold), (smooth areas, col. 14, lines 38-47), or dormant region (120 and 122 of fig. 5, inter and intra regions). In view of the discussion above, the claimed invention is unpatentable over Joch.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 3-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Either Joch, Frishman, Hsu, or Ameres does not particularly teach or suggest if at least one of the adjacent blocks is an intra-coded block:

if the activity value is greater than a first threshold, determining the region mode to be an active region;

if the activity value is less than the first threshold but greater than a second threshold, determining the region mode to be a smooth region; and

if the activity value is less than the second threshold, determining the region mode to be a dormant region; and

if none of the adjacent blocks are intra-coded blocks:

10/709,341 Art Unit: 2621

if the activity value is greater than a third threshold, determining the region mode to be an active region;

if the activity value is less than the third threshold but greater than the second threshold, determining the region mode to be a smooth region; and

if the activity value is less than the second threshold, determining the region mode to be a dormant region as specified in claim 3.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10/709,341 Art Unit: 2621

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tung Vo whose telephone number is 571-272-7340. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on 571-272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tung Vo Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2621